EXHIBIT I

FILED: NEW YORK COUNTY CLERK 09/25/2020 05:43 PM

NYSCEF DOC. NO. 579SE 1:20-CV-06555-MKV Document 30-9 Filed 10/25/20 Page 2 of 14 REGETVED NYSCEF: 09/25/2020

PACIFIC ALLIANCE ASIA OPPORTUNITY FUND L.P. vs. KWOK HO WAN

MICHAEL HORVITZ April 9, 2019



126 East 56th Street, Fifth Floor New York, New York 10022
P: 212-750-6434 F: 212-750-1097
www.ellengrauer.com

Original File 267201.TXT

Min-U-Script® with Word Index

1

| 1 | SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK |
|----|--|
| 2 | COUNTY OF NEW YORK |
| 3 | PACIFIC ALLIANCE ASIA OPPORTUNITY FUND L.P., |
| 4 | Plaintiff, |
| 5 | KWOK HO WAN, a/k/a KWOK HO, a/k/a GWO WEN GUI, a/k/a GUO WENGUI, a/k/a GUO WEN-GUI, a/k/a |
| 6 | WAN GUE HAOYUN, a/k/a MILES KWOK, a/k/a HAOYUN GUO, |
| 7 | Defendant. |
| 8 | |
| 9 | INDEX NO.: 652077/2017 |
| 10 | |
| 11 | 1100 Superior Avenue East |
| 12 | Cleveland, Ohio |
| 13 | April 9, 2019 8:38 a.m. |
| 14 | |
| 15 | Videotaped examination of MICHAEL HORVITZ, |
| 16 | taken before me, the undersigned, Sarah R. Drown, |
| 17 | a Registered Professional Reporter and Notary |
| 18 | Public within and for the State of Ohio. |
| 19 | |
| 20 | |
| 21 | |
| 22 | |
| 23 | ELLEN GRAUER COURT REPORTING CO., LLC 126 East 56th Street, Fifth Floor |
| 24 | New York, New York 10022 212-750-6434 |
| 25 | REF: 267201 |

APPEARANCES: 1 2 O'MELVENY & MYERS LLP 3 Attorney for the Plaintiff 4 TIMES SQUARE TOWER, 5 7 TIMES SQUARE 6 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10036 7 8 BY: EDWARD MOSS, ESQ. SARA N. PAHLAVAN, ESQ. 9 STUART M. SARNOFF, ESQ. (Via videoconference) 10 GARO HOPLAMAZIAN, ESQ. (Via videoconference) 11 ELI GROSSMAN, ESQ. (Via videoconference) 12 PHONE: 212.326.2000 13 EMAIL: Emoss@omm.com 14 Spahlavan@omm.com 15 Ssarnoff@omm.com 16 17 Ghoplamazian@omm.com 18 Egrossman@omm.com 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

APPEARANCES: (Cont'd) 1 2 HODGSON RUSS LLP 3 Attorney for the Defendant 4 605 THIRD AVENUE, SUITE 2300 5 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10158 6 7 BY: JILLIAN M. SEARLES, ESQ. MARK A. HARMON, ESQ. (Via phone) 8 PHONE: 212.751.4300 9 EMAIL: Jsearles@hodgsonruss.com 10 11 Mharmon@hodgsonruss.com 12 13 STROOCK & STROOCK & LAVAN LLP 14 Attorney for Michael Horvitz 15 180 MAIDEN LANE 16 NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10038 17 BY: EVA C. TALEL, ESQ. (Via videoconference) 18 PHONE: 212.806.5400 19 EMAIL: Etalel@stroock.com 20 21 22 23 ALSO PRESENT: Ivan Bercian, Videographer 24 25

MICHAEL HORVITZ

2 form.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- Well, I have a very low tolerance for people who have an inability to tell the truth, and if I had known that the representations being made were not true, my guess is that I would have not recommended approval of the purchase of the apartment.
 - Do you recall your testimony Q. earlier today that based on Mr. Kwok's certification and representations that you believe Beijing Zenith was an operational company?
 - Α. Well, I didn't form a judgment about what business it was in, whether it had operations, whether it was a holding company, what it was. It was my belief that it was a company owned and controlled by Mr. Kwok.
- I found the financials 22 difficult to understand, so I couldn't make a judgment about that. But I didn't 23 form an opinion of, you know, what 24 business it was in. 25

,

MICHAEL HORVITZ 1 2 If I can direct your attention to page 79 of the testimony. I'm going 3 to start reading from line 23. 4 testimony goes on to the next page. 5 Question, "Mr. Kwok, did you 6 know at the time this Beijing Zenith 7 8 Holdings balance sheet was submitted to The Sherry-Netherland that Beijing Zenith 9 Holdings' assets had been frozen by the 10 Chinese government?" 11 "Object to the form of the 12 question." 13 Mr. Kwok refuses to answer and 14 then he's instructed that he can answer 15 this question. He answers on line 9, "In 16 that case, I know. Yes." 17 Do you see that? 18 Α. 19 Yes. What, if any, reaction sitting 20 Q. here today do you have to this testimony, 21 Mr. Horvitz? 22 Well, I -- I felt that the 23 Α. Beijing Zenith financial statements 24 were -- there was something wrong with 25

MICHAEL HORVITZ 1 2 them when I first reviewed them. So I had a skepticism about them, which is why 3 I didn't recommend approval based on the 4 Beijing Zenith Holdings' financial 5 statements. 6 So in this sense I quess I'm 7 not surprised that -- that those 8 statements were even more inaccurate than 9 I thought they were, but they certainly 10 confirm the idea that the statements were 11 not accurate. 12 Do you recall your testimony, 13 based on Mr. Kwok and his lawyers' 14 representations, that you believed he 15 owned 50 percent of Bravo Luck? 16 17 Α. Of Bravo Luck? Yes. 18 Ο. If I can direct your attention to page 82 of the testimony of Exhibit 8, 19 20 please. 21 I'm going to start at line 11. 22 "Thank you. I have handed you -- the court reporter has handed you 23 Exhibit 5, which is a statement entitled 24 Debit Advice from UBS in Hong Kong for 25

MICHAEL HORVITZ 1 2 Bravo Luck Limited, and it's Bates-stamped Kwok 510, and it was 3 produced by your counsel to us in this 4 case, Mr. Kwok." 5 6 Do you see that, Mr. Horvitz? 7 Α. Yes. Q. I'm going to read from line 8 9 17. Question, "Bravo Luck Limited 10 is a company owned by Zhang Wei?" 11 12 Answer, "Yes." Question, "You have no 13 ownership interest in Bravo Luck 14 Limited?" 15 Answer, "I do not remember 16 having any." 17 Do you see that testimony? 18 I do see it, yes. 19 Α. What is your reaction, if any, 20 Q. sitting here today, Mr. Horvitz, to 21 22 Mr. Kwok's testimony regarding Bravo 23 Luck? Well, my reaction is again 24 it's completely inconsistent with the 25

1 MICHAEL HORVITZ 2 representations that were made at the time, in 2015, at the time of the 3 application. And either those 4 representations were inaccurate at the 5 time or this testimony is inaccurate when 6 it was given. I have no view as to which 7 it is, but they're completely 8 inconsistent. 9 How does reading Mr. Kwok's 10 Q. testimony from 2018 make you feel 11 regarding the representations he made in 12 2015? 13 It doesn't really -- because I 14 don't know whether the representations in 15 2015 were true or not, it doesn't -- it 16 really in a funny way doesn't cast doubt 17 18 on the original representations, but it tells me that one of two things happened. 19 Either he was misrepresenting 20 at the time or he's lying in this 21 22 deposition. And it's theoretically possible that the representation was true 23 and now he's lying and it's also possible 24 that the reverse is true. I don't have a 25

```
1
                  MICHAEL HORVITZ
2
    view on that.
                If I -- if I had known or if
3
    I -- if this testimony indicated to me
4
    that the representations in 2015 were
5
    inaccurate, I would consider, too, that
6
    we were defrauded.
7
                I don't have anything further
8
    at this time. I reserve my right to ask
9
    additional questions after Ms. Searles'
10
    examination.
11
                I don't know if you want to
12
    take a break before Ms. Searles starts or
13
14
    not.
               MS. SEARLES: Yeah, it
15
         might make more sense just to take
16
         a quick break so I can --
17
               MR. MOSS:
18
                                  Sure.
               MS. SEARLES: -- just make
19
         sure to do it as quickly as
20
         possible for you.
21
22
                THE WITNESS:
                                  No problem.
                THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Off the
23
         record. The time is 9:56.
24
25
```

MICHAEL HORVITZ 1 2 (Recess taken.) 3 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We're back 4 on the record. The time is now 5 10:07. 6 EXAMINATION OF MICHAEL HORVITZ 7 8 BY MS. SEARLES: Good morning, Mr. Horvitz. 9 Q. As I mentioned earlier, I'm 10 Jillian Searles, counsel for Mr. Kwok. 11 Ι just have a few questions for you. 12 What, if anything, did you do 13 today to prepare for your deposition? 14 Α. Today? 15 Or what did you do to prepare Q. 16 17 for your deposition today, if anything, at any point before this day? 18 Well, I reviewed the minutes 19 of the two meetings. I reviewed the 20 21 application package. I talked to my 22 counsel. That's pretty much it. Aside from your counsel, did 23 Q. you speak to anyone at the board? 24 25 Α. No.

YSCEF DOC. NO. 579 1:20-CV-06555-MKV Document 30-9 Filed 10/25/20 Page 13 of 14 RECEIVED NYSCEF: 09/25/2020

MICHAEL HORVITZ 1 2 Q. Did you speak to Mr. Ullman? Α. 3 No. You mentioned you reviewed a 4 Q. couple of documents, the board minutes? 5 Α. The board minutes, the 6 application package. I also reviewed the 7 8 correspondence from Ira Gilbert and Jerry Shulman regarding the financial 9 information. 10 Did you review any of the 11 legal pleadings in this particular 12 matter? 13 I received them, but I didn't Α. 14 read them. 15 From whom did you receive the 16 Q. legal pleadings? 17 My counsel. 18 Α. I believe you mentioned 19 earlier you own an apartment at The 20 Sherry-Netherland? 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 You've owned that apartment Q. since 2004? 24 I went on the board in 25 Α. No.

MICHAEL HORVITZ 1 2 I've owned -- I've owned the apartment since 1988. And then I 3 acquired -- there were a hotel room next 4 to it and I acquired that some time 5 later, I can't remember the year, and 6 combined it with my apartment. But I've 7 8 been a shareholder since 1988. Okay. Do you own that 9 Q. apartment in your own name? 10 Α. I own it in the name of a 11 revocable trust that is my trust. 12 Is it common for individuals 13 living at The Sherry-Netherland to own 14 their apartments through other entities? 15 16 Α. I'd say it's --17 MR. MOSS: Objection to the form. 18 I don't know how prevalent it 19 Α. is, but it's not -- it's not uncommon. 20 21 Ο. Earlier today you testified 22 that you were given various financial 23 information with respect to your review of Mr. Kwok's application. 24 Other than reviewing, reading 25